

Conclusion

Original

Conclusion / Barbero, Silvia - In: Systemic Design Method Guide for Policymaking: a Circular Europe on the way / Silvia Barbero. - STAMPA. - Torino : Umberto Allemandi, 2017. - ISBN 978-88-422-2444-0. - pp. 140-142

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2685137 since: 2017-10-10T10:49:38Z

Publisher:

Umberto Allemandi

Published

DOI:

Terms of use:


openAccess

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)


retrace



Systemic Design Method Guide for Policymaking:

**A Circular Europe
on the Way**

volume 1



**Edited by
Silvia Barbero**

Allemandi



European Union
European Regional
Development Fund

SYSTEMIC DESIGN
METHOD GUIDE
FOR POLICYMAKING
A Circular Europe on the Way

EDITED BY
SILVIA BARBERO

Allemandi

SYSTEMIC DESIGN METHOD GUIDE FOR POLICYMAKING: A CIRCULAR EUROPE ON THE WAY

Edited by

Silvia Barbero

Preface by

Erwin Siweris

RETRACE Coordinator

Politecnico di Torino (Italy)

RETRACE Partners

Piedmont Region (Italy)

Azaro Foundation (Spain)

Beaz Bizkaia (Spain)

Higher School of Advanced Industrial Technology / ESTIA (France)

Association for Environment and Safety in Aquitaine / APESA (France)

Government Office for Development and European Cohesion Policy (Slovenia)

North-East Regional Development Agency (Romania)



Chief Editor

Silvia Barbero

Assistant Editor

Carolina Giraldo Nohra

Authors

Jocelyn Bailey

Cyril Baldacchino

Silvia Barbero

Chiara Battistoni

Luigi Bistagnino

Emanuele Bompan

Daniel Calleja Crespo

Tiziana Dell'Olmo

Marjana Dermelj

Carolina Giraldo Nohra

Jean-Michel Larrasquet

Iban Lizarralde

Ander Muñoz Urbizu

Agnese Pallaro

Pier Paolo Peruccio

Estibaliz Plaza Elordi

Marion Real

Ramona Tanasă

Paolo Tamborrini

Benjamin Tyl

Cover Design

Carolina Giraldo Nohra

Annexes Design

Chiara Battistoni

Carolina Giraldo Nohra

First Edition

ISBN 978-88-422-2444-0

© 2017 BY RETRACE PROJECT

© 2017 UMBERTO ALLEMANDI SRL

PRINTED IN TURIN IN THE MONTH OF SEPTEMBER 2017

FOR THE UMBERTO ALLEMANDI PUBLISHING HOUSE

All rights reserved

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any manner without permission.

This publication is possible thanks to the support of Interreg Europe and the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) in the RETRACE Project.

www.interregeurope.eu/retrace

This publication reflects the authors' views only and the Interreg Europe programme authorities are not liable for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.

List of abbreviations

ADEME French Environment and Energy Management Agency

ANR French National Research Agency

BIT National Strategy for Bioeconomy

C2C Cradle to Cradle

C2CN Cradle to Cradle Network

CE Circular Economy

DfD Design for Disassembly

DG Directorate-General

EAP Environment Action Programme

EC European Commission

EcoSD Eco-design of Sustainable Systems

ENEC European Network of Ecodesign Centers

EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

ERDF European Regional Development Fund

ERT European Round Table of Industrialists

ESF European Social Fund

ETC European Territorial Cooperation

EU European Union

FP7 Seventh Framework Programme

FVs Field Visits

GDP Gross Domestic Product

GODC Slovenian Government Office for Development and European Cohesion Policy

GPs Good Practices

GPP Green Public Procurement

HD Holistic Diagnosis

ISWA International Solid Waste Association

LP Lead Partner – Politecnico di Torino

LSR Large Scale Retail

JTS Joint Technical Secretariat

MA Managing Authority

MSc Master of Science

MSW Municipal Solid Waste

NGO Non-Governmental Organization

NISP National Industrial Symbiosis Programme

OECD Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

OPs Operational Programmes

POR-FESR Regional Operational Program / European Regional Development Fund

PP2 Second Partner – Piedmont Region

PP3 Third Partner – Azaro Foundation

PP4 Fourth Partner – Beaz Bizkaia

PP5 Fifth Partner – Higher School of Advanced Industrial Technology (ESTIA)

PP6 Sixth Partner – Association for Environment and Safety in Aquitaine (APESA)

PP7 Seventh Partner – Slovenian Government Office for Development and European Cohesion Policy

PP8 Eighth Partner – Romanian North-East Regional Development Agency (NERDA)

R&I Research and Innovation

RAPs Regional Action Plans

RES Renewable Energy Source

RIS Regional Innovation Strategies for Smart Specialization

ROP Regional Operational Programme

SD Systemic Design

SG Steering Group

SYDERE Systemic Design Research and Education

SME Small Medium Enterprise

SRIP Strategic Research and Innovation Partnerships

TAA Total Agricultural Area

TEPCV Positive Energy Territory For Green Growth Label

ToR Terms of Reference

UAA Useful Agricultural Area

UNFCCC United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change

WCED World Commission on Environment and Development

WTO World Trade Organization

ZERI Zero Emissions Research and Initiatives

ZGZD Zero Waste Territories Label

Preface

ERWIN SIWERIS

As Europe is moving towards an accelerated global economy, it is vital to adopt proper governance actions to achieve a sustainable future. In this context, it is necessary that new policies come from the effort and commitment of multidisciplinary teams. Interreg Europe helps regional and local governments across Europe to develop and deliver better policy. Supported by the European Regional Development Fund with 359 million euros from 2014 to 2020, the programme fosters regional policymakers through cooperation projects and policy learning platforms.

In 2016 we introduced the RETRACE Project (A Systemic Approach for Transition towards a Circular Economy)¹ which was financed under the first call for proposals of the Interreg Europe ETC Programme, 4.2 Specific Objective: Improving resource efficient economy policies. This project is a coordinated work between universities, local authorities, government offices, associations and public administration whose main aim is to address the EU challenge of transitioning towards a Circular Economy following the priorities set up by the “Flagship Initiative for a Resource-efficient Europe” for a shift towards a resource-efficient, low-carbon economy to achieve sustainable growth as enshrined in the Europe 2020 strategy and the EC Communication “Towards a Circular Economy: A Zero Waste Programme for Europe”.

The outcome of the project over the first 16 months has been remarkable, facing stimulating challenges and achieving brilliant results by the eight partners of the project from Italy, Spain, France, Slovenia and Romania. Among the main achievements are:

- 6 field visits in the five partner regions and in The Netherlands;
- 48 good practices of Circular Economy and Systemic Design exchanged;
- 5 Holistic Diagnosis assessing the state of the art of the 5 partner regions in relation to Circular Economy related policies;
- 5 regional dissemination events, one in each country, with more than 250 attendees;
- 5 stakeholder groups formed in the partner regions, involving more than 70 entities;
- 4 videos showing the good practices encountered during the field visits;
- 2 newsletters sent to over 700 contacts.

This volume entitled *RETRACE Systemic Design for Policymaking: a Circular Economy on the Way* is addressed to regional policymakers and policy managers and is the first of a three book series that the RETRACE Project will deliver across a four-year period (2016–2020). Its main purpose is to illustrate to policymakers the Systemic Design as a tool to define sustainable activities based on Circular Economy.

The Systemic Design methodology and the results achieved in this first phase of the project constitute the main focus of the book which also offers a glimpse on what is expected in the next years with the definition of five Regional Action Plans focused on the development of Circular Economy policies in all partner regions. Eventually, the second phase of the project, from 2018 to 2020, will be devoted to the implementation of these policies.

ERWIN SIWERIS
Programme Director, Interreg Europe
Lille, France

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'E' followed by a series of loops and a final flourish.

¹ www.interregeurope.eu/retrace

Table of Contents

9	Introduction
	SILVIA BARBERO

1. Transition Towards a Circular Economy

14	1.1 The Circular Economy Package. Beginning of the Transition towards a more Circular Economy
	DANIEL CALLEJA CRESPO
16	1.2 Circular Economy: Definition and Evolution. Principles and Development
	EMANUELE BOMPAN
24	1.3 The Transition of European Countries Toward a New Economy and the Role of Regions
	TIZIANA DELL'OLMO
35	1.4 Evolution of Interreg Europe Projects on Circular Economy
	MARJANA DERMELJ
43	1.5 Elements of Novelty: Designer as Policy-Maker
	JOCELYN BAILEY
51	1.6 Sustainable and Collaborative Innovation for the Territory
	PAOLO TAMBORRINI

2. Systemic Design: A Tool for An Emerging Sustainable Future

58	2.1 A Complementary View on Complex and Systemic Approaches
	MARION REAL, JEAN MICHEL LARRASQUET AND IBAN LIZARRALDE

68	2.2	Systemic Design: A Historical Perspective PIER PAOLO PERUCCIO
75	2.3	Systemic Design: Methodology and Principles LUIGI BISTAGNINO
83	2.4	Systemic Design as Effective Methodology for the Transition to Circular Economy SILVIA BARBERO
	3.	The RETRACE Project
92	3.1	Overview of the RETRACE Project RAMONA TANASĂ
101	3.2	Involved Actors CYRIL BALDACCHINO AND BENJAMIN TYL
	4.	The Methodology of the RETRACE Project
112	4.1	Exchange of Experiences CHIARA BATTISTONI, CAROLINA GIRALDO NOHRA, AGNESE PALLARO AND ANDER MUÑOZ URBIZU
135	4.2	Communication and Dissemination ESTIBALIZ PLAZA ELORDI, MARION REAL AND MIKELE LARRONDE
140		Conclusion SILVIA BARBERO
143		Annexes
179		Glossary
185		Authors' Biographies

Conclusion

SILVIA BARBERO

This book recommends a methodology which helps defining a clear path towards a circular economy is mainly addressed to policymakers. However, it can be useful to all those actors involved in this new process who recognize the importance of a bottom-up approach. The methodological steps are distinctly defined in order to create a sort of toolkit easy to use in real circumstances, as demonstrated through the RETRACE Project.

It is a guide to action, which provides the tools and the motivation to start a journey towards a circular economy while analysing its fundamental concepts beyond any *cliché* for a deeper understanding of its implication and the goals the European Commission aims to pursue.

The transition towards circular economy is based on technical, social and organisational innovations which involve the whole value chain connecting production and consumption (European Commission, 2014). The key elements of this transition include a change of the cultural paradigm, organizational and social innovation, new financial instruments and a bottom-up process in decision making.

The cultural aspects are related to a Constructivist learning paradigm (Piaget, 1950), according to which the learner plays an active role in building the interpretations of experience and sharing common cultural experiences, and disciplines do not have defined borders. The skills and knowledge requested in this transition phase should be trans-disciplinary and capacity-building oriented.

The required innovation is multi-level in order to guarantee a different organisational model that includes integrated solutions and business models, and a different social paradigm with new production and consumption models involving citizens.

The introduction of fiscal and financial incentives would be able to grant tax relief or financial contributions to those subjects that move towards an increasingly environmental sustainability of production and processes.

Eventually, the involvement of a high number of stakeholders is indispensable to address bottom-up processes in making challenging decisions.

The experiences described in this book show how circular economy opportunities can cope with regulatory, technical, cultural and financial barriers and how policymakers can play a crucial role in helping businesses overcome these obstacles.

Regulatory barriers include the definitions of waste that hinder trade and transport of products for remanufacturing, the tight division in sectors, etc.. The linear and sectorial approach in current government departments is a huge barrier, because the circularity of resources requires cross-department collaborations and the involvement of industry. Other legal problems are related to different authorization procedures (as for example in the case of ending waste, or managing waste), as the absence of national rules raise disparities among regions. At the same time, when regulation is complex or fragmented, it could also hamper it (Simon Boas et al., 2015).

Technical barriers are related to the innovations that allow giving a higher quality to waste (for example, the quality of recycled materials, requires R&I actions), and the ability to scale them up to an industrial level. In other cases, the value chains are not complete, especially regarding the supply of agricultural by-products; the question of how to guarantee constant quality and quantity of supply is another key issue, which is related to seasonality and other variables (Schulte, 2012).

On a cultural level, we need to support a new eco-design paradigm that considers the whole product lifecycle (durability, maintenance, recyclability), and an increase in the awareness of potential users of secondary materials, especially in certain sectors. Other social factors are the lack of experience of companies and policymakers in detecting circular economy opportunities as well as some market failures, or the lack of accurate information (for example, consumers should be informed about the possibility to repair, disassemble and reuse products), and the unaccounted externalities (such as the carbon emissions of companies).

Fiscal and financial barriers include measuring economic performances that do not pursue the network with other entities and social and environmental benefits. There are many circular economy opportunities which are profitable right now. However, a great number of international organisations, such as the European Commission, the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, the International Monetary Fund, and the International Labour Organization, have declared and demonstrated that further opportunities could be activated by shifting fiscal incentives from resources towards labour (Witjes and Lozano, 2016).

All these aspects should also be considered based on international competitiveness, administrative issues, tax revenue stability and distributional effects. Thus, it is crucial to establish a cross-policy approach and an inter-institutional dialogue beyond the single political instrument. Each state member of the European Union requires EU-level policy interventions that integrate national policies; for example, the value chains of many products could be extended across the borders.

Policymakers have the duty to increase the governance and awareness of all the actors involved in the process as well as to define and use fair policy as an incentive. The already-mentioned transition towards a more circular economy brings about the benefits of an innovative, resilient and productive economy.

Applying the Systemic Design Methodology to define policies for a sustainable future is a consolidated practice. The RETRACE experience demonstrates that, for an effective transition to a circular economy, which is not exclusively focused on recycling and focused on the product and on the reduction of waste, the application of a holistic approach as the Systemic Design guarantees, is essential. It is imperative that policies at all levels (local, regional, national and international), which intend to regulate such complex systems, should take into consideration many variable at the same time. Complex systems define a class of problems that are often described as NON-LINEAR (the whole is greater than the sum of its parts), ADAPTIVE (both the system and its constituent parts adjust over time to the changes in the environment, within the system, and within the components), SELF-ORGANIZING (components self organize without central direction), and EMERGENT (it is hard to anticipate the system outcome of interventions carried out at the component level) (Hadzikadic, 2015). Indeed, this means that it is not only about closing the circles of production, but also finding alternative destinations of waste to landfills. The goal is to shift the focus from the product to the territory. To conclude, design goes a step further in delineating new policies that aim at designing a whole territory.

REFERENCES

- Simon Boas et al. (2015). *Delivering The Circular Economy: A Toolkit For Policymakers*. Chicago, USA: Ellen MacArthur Foundation Publisher. Available <https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/publications> (Accessed 31st July 2017)
- European Commission (2014). *System for Fund Management in the European Union*. Available <https://ec.europa.eu/sfc/en/2014> (Accessed 31st July 2017)
- Hadzikadic, M. (2015). Welcome to Policy and Complex System Journal. *Journal of Policy and Complex Systems*, vol. 2, no. 1,
- Piaget, J. (1950). *The Psychology of Intelligence*. New York City, New York, USA: Routledge.
- Schulte, U.G. (2012). New Business Models for a Radical Change in Resource Efficiency. *Environ. Innov. Soc. Transit.*, vol. 9, 43–7.
- Witjes, S., and Lozano, R. (2016). Towards a more Circular Economy: Proposing a Framework Linking Sustainable Public Procurement and Sustainable Business Models. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, vol. 112, 37–44, doi: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2016.04.015.

Annexes

Glossary

BIOECONOMY, BIOBASED ECONOMY

The part of economy that refers to the conversion of renewable biological resources into products through new efficient biotechnologies is called Bioeconomy. Intensive scientific and research activities have allowed the development of economic activities focused on the creation of vital products such as food and feed, but also bio-based products and bioenergy, starting from the products of land and sea (e.g. crops, forests, fish, animals and micro-organisms).

The term was first mentioned by Juan Enriquez and Rodrigo Martinez (Life Sciences Chief Strategist at IDEO) at the Genomics Seminar in the 1997 AAAS meeting and afterwards an excerpt of the paper was published in *Science Magazine*.

Bioeconomy was considered as a point of interest by Europe and on 13 February 2012 the Europe's Bioeconomy Strategy was launched and adopted under the lead of DG Research and Innovation and co-signed by several other Commission departments (Agriculture and Rural Development, Environment, Maritime Affairs, and Industry and Entrepreneurship). The strategy would like to answer to environmental global challenges such as increasing populations, depletion of natural resources and climate change and the white paper on "Bioeconomy" sets vision 2030 along with policy recommendations.

See: <http://biotechsupportbase.com/2014/02/06/bio-economy/>

See: <https://ec.europa.eu/research/bioeconomy/index.cfm>

BLUE ECONOMY

In the European context the Blue Economy can refer to two different conceptions. One is the economy derived from the blue growth, the long term strategy for the marine and maritime sectors by the European Union. The other is the open-source movement lead by Gunter Pauli, a Belgian businessman and former Ecover CEO, who is the action part of ZERI (Zero Emissions research and initiatives). In this publication we refer to the second notion.

Born as a report to the Club of Rome, the book *Blue Economy* by Gunter Pauli firstly presented in November 2009 describes "100 innovations that can create 100 million jobs within the next 10 years." The author demonstrates, taking inspiration from nature, that it is possible to create innovative business models which coexist in harmony according to nature's evolutionary path. The manifesto declares that local systems of production and consumption are able to generate multiple products and services and build social capital based on their own resources.

See: <http://www.theblueeconomy.org/>

See: http://www.zeri.org/ZERI/About_ZERI.html

See: https://ec.europa.eu/maritimeaffairs/policy/blue_growth_en

See: <https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/circular-economy/schools-of-thought/blue-economy>

BY-PRODUCT

Defined in the Cambridge Dictionary as "something that is produced as a result of making something else," in the context of production it is the "output from a joint production process that is minor in quantity and/or Net Realizable Value when compared with the main products" (Wouters, 2012: 535). Its Net Realizable Value usually is not inventoried but "is recognized as 'other income' or as a reduction of joint production processing costs when the by-product is produced" (WTO, 2004).

In December 2005, the European Commission defined the distinction between waste and by-products as part of the Thematic Strategy on the prevention and recycling of waste: “by-product is a production residue that is not a waste” (European Commission, 2007).

See: <http://dictionary.cambridge.org/it/dizionario/inglese/by-product>

See: http://ec.europa.eu/environment/waste/framework/by_products.htm

See: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/By-product>

European Commission (2007). Communication from the Commission to the Council and the European Parliament on the Interpretative Communication on Waste and By-Products. Available <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52007DC0059> (Accessed 18th May 2017)

World Trade Organization (2004). United States. Final Dumping Determination on Softwood Lumber from Canada, WT/DS264/AB/R.

Wouters, M., Selto, F.H.; Hilton, R.W.; Maher, M.W. (2012). *Cost Management: Strategies for Business Decisions*. New York City, New York, US: McGraw-Hill.

CASCADE EFFECT

As defined by the Oxford Dictionary, a cascade effect is defined as “a process whereby something, typically information or knowledge, is successively passed on and a succession of devices or stages in a process, each of which triggers or initiates the next.” The term is applied to many different contexts, mainly medicine and ecology.

See: <https://en.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/us/cascade>

See: <http://www.encyclopedia.com/science/dictionaries-thesauruses-pictures-and-press-releases/cascade-effect>

CIRCULAR ECONOMY

According to the definition provided by the Ellen MacArthur Foundation, Circular Economy is “restorative and regenerative by design. In a circular economy, there are two kinds of material cycles: biological, capable of being reintegrated into the biosphere, and technical, destined to be re-valORIZED without entering the biosphere. As envisioned by the originators, a circular economy is a continuous positive development cycle that preserves and enhances natural capital, optimises resource yields, and minimises system risks by managing finite stocks and renewable flows. It works effectively at every scale.” In a Circular Economy, the use of resources (input) is optimised and the production of by-products or waste (output) is minimised through different kinds of actions that include design for long lasting products, maintenance, reuse, recycling, repair, remanufacturing and refurbishing. This approach is opposed to the linear economy, guided by the “take, make, dispose” production model.

See: <http://www.c2cproducts.com/detail.aspx?linkid=1&sublink=6>

See: <https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/circular-economy>

See: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Circular_economy

CLEAN TECHNOLOGY

Clean Technology is a broad term which refers to processes, products and services that, compared to traditional technologies, are characterized by: a lower environmental impact, superior performances and a more responsible and productive use of resources.

European Commission - Business Innovation Observatory (2014). *Clean Technologies. Closed-loop waste management*. Available <http://ec.europa.eu/DocsRoom/documents/13396/attachments/2/translations/en/renditions/native> (Accessed 18th May 2017)

CRADLE TO CRADLE

The term (also cradle-to-cradle, C2C and cradle-2-cradle) is an evolution of the notion “cradle-to-grave”.

Moving from the concept of a linear model for products that consider them from the resources extraction (cradle) to the disposal moment (grave), C2C implies concepts of sustainability, recover, reuse, considering the products from their birth to their re-birth. It started from a design context (cradle-to-cradle design) developing from the biological metabolism a model of “technical metabolism flow of industrial materials.” It states that: “product components can be designed for continuous recovery and reutilization as biological and technical nutrients.” “The cradle-to-cradle framework moves beyond the traditional goal of reducing the negative impacts of commerce (eco-efficiency), to a new paradigm of increasing its positive impacts (eco-effectiveness).”

The manifesto of this concept is dated 2002: *Cradle-to-Cradle: Remaking the Way We Make Things* by William McDonough and Michael Braungart. Today the terms Cradle to Cradle® and C2C® are registered trademarks of MBDC / McDonough Braungart Design Chemistry, LLC.

McDonough, W., and Braungart, M. (2002). *Cradle to cradle: Remaking the Way We Make Things*. New York City, New York, US: North Point Press.

See: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cradle-to-cradle_design

See: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cradle_to_Cradle:_Remaking_the_Way_We_Make_Things

See: <http://www.c2cproducts.com>

DESIGN BY COMPONENTS

Methodology that focuses on the design of complex products such as large and small household appliances, electrical and electronic equipment, communication tools, work tools...) since the redefinition of its essential internal components. The proposals thus developed, in addition to optimizing the assembly of components, giving rise to innovative expressiveness over the usual image of these products. This methodology allow to give a longer life to the products, facilitating the maintenance and the use of the product.

Bistagnino, L. (2008). *The Outside Shell Seen from the Inside*. Milano, Italy: CEA.

DESIGN FOR DISASSEMBLY

Design for Disassembly (DfD) is a design strategy that aims to reduce the environmental impacts of products, by considering — already in the design phase — the needs to disassemble a product (either for maintenance or for end-of-life treatment) as well as simplifying the dismantling operations and the separation of components and materials.

See: <http://www.core77.com/posts/15799/afterlife-an-essential-guide-to-design-for-disassembly-by-alex-diener-15799>

ECODESIGN

Ecodesign is a broad term, defined by the European Union, as the “integration of environmental aspects into product design with the aim of improving the environmental performance of the product throughout its whole life cycle.” Focused on reducing the environmental impacts of products, Ecodesign involves different design strategies, such as Design for Disassembly, Design by Components, Systemic Design, Design for Recycling, Design for Environment.

European Union (2009). Directive 2009/125/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 October 2009 establishing a framework for the setting of eco-design requirements for energy-related products. Available <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TEXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32009L0125&from=EN> (Accessed 18th May 2017)

GREEN ECONOMY

Green Economy is an economy aimed at taking into account the environmental impacts of economic activities, minimising them. According to UNEP a green economy is low carbon, socially inclusive and resource efficient. As a result, human well-being is improved and environmental risks are reduced.

UNEP. (2011). Towards a Green Economy: Pathways to Sustainable Development and Poverty Eradication - A Synthesis for Policy Makers. Available www.unep.org/greeneconomy (Accessed 18th May 2017)

HAPPY DEGROWTH

Latouche, defines degrowth as “a political slogan with theoretical implications”, whose function is to open up conceptual and practical opportunities for escaping the impasse and mentality of the current economy. This requires avoiding the trap of getting tangled in economic proposals and an economic idiom when envisioning the transition to a degrowth society, i.e. avoiding the “economism” that characterizes industrial society and which is at the heart of the ideology of development (Latouche, 2010).

Latouche, S. (2010). Regrowth (editorial). *Journal of Cleaner Production*, no. 18, 519–522.

Latouche, S. (2010). La Gauche, peut-elle sortir de l'économisme?, *La Décroissance*, no. 70, 5.

INDUSTRIAL ECOLOGY

Industrial Ecology is the study of material and energy flows through industrial systems. Focusing on connections between operators within the ‘industrial ecosystem’, this approach aims at creating closed-loop processes in which waste serves as an input, thus eliminating the notion of an undesirable by-product. Industrial ecology adopts a systemic point of view, designing production processes in accordance with local ecological constraints whilst looking at their global impact from the outset, and attempting to shape them so they perform as close to living systems as possible.

See: <https://www.ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/circular-economy/schools-of-thought/industrial-ecology>

Frosh, R.A., Gallopoulos, N.E. (1989). Strategies for Manufacturing. *Scientific American*, vol. 3, no. 189, 94–102.

INDUSTRIAL SYMBIOSIS

Industrial Symbiosis represents one of the subsets of Industrial Ecology. Industrial Symbiosis traditionally separates entities in a collective approach to competitive advantage involving physical exchanges of materials, energy, water and by-products (Chertow, 2000). Different industries collaborate among them for mutual economic and environmental benefit, even if partners should be independent (“across the fence”). Someone’s waste is one’s raw material, in a way that is economically and environmentally profitable. The Industrial Symbiosis is the development of industries in a system to reach improved performance. This is because exchanges enabled through collaborative synergistic connections have the potential to improve resource use efficiencies, thus contributing to the reduction of resource throughput and pollutant generation.

Chertow, M.R. (2000). Industrial Symbiosis: Literature and Taxonomy. *Annual Review of Energy and Environment*, vol. 25, 313–337.

POLICY DESIGN

Policies are revealed through texts, practices, and symbols, and discourse that define and deliver values including goods and services as well as regulations, income, status, and other positively or negatively valued attributes. Policy design refers to the content and substance of public policy; blueprints, architecture, discourses, and aesthetics of policy in both is instrumental and symbolic forms.

As an area of study Policy Design engendered a large literature in the 1980s and 1990s with prominent figures in the US, Canada, Europe and Australia. After the early 1990s, however, this literature tailed off and although some writings on policy design have continued to flourish in specific fields such as economics, energy and environmental studies, in the fields of public administration and public policy more generally the idea of ‘design’ was often replaced by the study of institutional forms and decentralized governance arrangements.

Schneider, A.L., and Ingram, H. (1997). *Policy Design for Democracy*. Kansas City, Missouri, US: University of Kansas Press.

See: <http://archives.ippapublicpolicy.org/Policy-Design-Principles-and>

POLICY INSTRUMENT

A policy instrument is a means for public intervention. It refers to any policy, strategy, or law developed by public authorities and applied on the ground in order to improve a specific territorial situation. In most cases, financial resources are associated with a policy instrument. However, an instrument can also sometimes refer to a legislative framework with no specific funding.

Interreg Europe (2016). Interreg Europe Programme Manual. Available https://www.interregeurope.eu/fileadmin/user_upload/documents/Call_related_documents/Interreg_Europe_Programme_manual.pdf (Accessed 18th May 2017)

RECYCLE

As defined by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), “recycling is the process of collecting and processing materials that would otherwise be thrown away as trash and turning them into new products.”

It is a good alternative to “conventional” waste disposal that can valorise material and help lower greenhouse gas emission, in terms of CO₂. The act of recycling prevent the large number of waste of potentially useful materials and reduce the consumption of new raw materials. This is reflected in the reduction of energy usage, air pollution (mainly from incineration), and water pollution (mainly from landfilling).

See: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Recycling>

See: <https://www.epa.gov/recycle/recycling-basics>

REPAIR

According to the definition provided by the article of *Product Design in a Circular Economy*, “repair is the correction of specific faults in an obsolete product or in a product that is not working such as at first, bringing the product back to working condition, whereby any warranty on the repaired product generally is less than those of newly manufactured equivalents any may not cover the whole product, but only the component that has been replaced” (Hollander, 2017).

Den Hollander, M.C., Bakker, C.A. and Hultink, E.J. (2017), Product Design in a Circular Economy: Development of a Typology of Key Concepts and Terms. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, vol. 21, 517–25. doi:10.1111/jiec.12610

REUSE

Reuse is the act or practice of using something a second time. This action helps saving time, money, energy and resources, activating the reprocessing of previously used items. The purpose of reuse can be duple: to maintain the original function of the object (conventional reuse) or to accomplish a different one (creative reuse or repurposing). It is totally different from recycling, which is the despendency of used items to make raw materials for the production of new products.

See: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Reuse>

SERVICE DESIGN

The asset of planning and organizing people, infrastructure, communication and material components of a service, in order to refine its quality and the interaction between the service provider and its customers is called Service Design.

This category of design may function as a way to provide changes to an existing service or to create a new service entirely. Service design uses methods and tools derived from different disciplines ranging from ethnography to information and management science to interaction design. The purpose of this methodology is to promote best practices for designing services in accordance with both the needs of customers and the competencies and capabilities of service providers. It can be Product-Oriented, Result-Oriented or Use-Oriented.

See: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Service_design

Vezzoli, C., Kohtala, C., and Srinivasan A. (2014). *Product-Service System Design for Sustainability*. Oxford, UK: Greenleaf Publishing Limited.

SYSTEMIC DESIGN

Systemic Design is a recent initiative in design that integrates systems thinking and human-centered design, with the intention of helping designers cope with complex design projects. The recent challenges to design coming from the increased complexity caused by globalization, migration, sustainability render traditional design methods insufficient. Designers need better ways to design responsibly and to avoid unintended side-effects. Systemic Design intends to develop methodologies and approaches that help to integrate systems thinking with design towards sustainability at environmental, social and economic level. It is a pluralistic initiative where many different approaches are encouraged to thrive and where dialogue and organic development of new practices is central.

In this publication we refer to the methodology defined by Professor Luigi Bistagnino, which is built around the key principle that the material and energy output of a system (waste) can become input for another one (resource), taking inspiration from nature (Bistagnino, 2011). These relationships generate an autopoietic system of interconnected processes where waste is reduced and that tends to produce zero emissions. This system is strictly connected to the local territory in which the process operates and is built around the needs of the people related to it.

See: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Systemic_design

Bistagnino, L. (2011). *Systemic Design. Designing the productive and environmental sustainability*. Bra: Slow Food Editore.

UPCYCLE

Upcycling is the process of transforming by-products, waste and useless materials, and unwanted products into new materials or products characterized by better quality or better environmental value. This process is also known as “creative reuse”. Upcycling is the opposite of downcycling, that transforms materials and products into new ones of lesser quality.

See: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Upcycling>

Authors' Biographies

JOCELYN BAILEY

Jocelyn Bailey is a designer with particular expertise in social design and policy. She is a senior consultant at service design agency Uscreates, where she works with a range of government and public sector clients on strategic design projects. She is also pursuing a PhD through the Arts & Humanities Research Council's 'Design Star' Doctoral Training Programme. Based at the University of Brighton, her research examines and critiques the growing trend of design being used as a strategic and policy tool by governments, to support the development of practice in this field. In 2014 she was a Visiting Scholar at the V&A, working on a project for the AHRC, mapping social design research and practice. Previously, Jocelyn led the manufacturing, design and innovation team at Westminster think tank Policy Connect. She trained as an architect at Cambridge University, and has an MA in History of Art from Birkbeck College (University of London).

CYRIL BALDACCHINO

Engineer Cyril Baldacchino is an eco-innovation project manager at APESA, where he manages the team and eco-design projects. He holds a master degree in Information System Management, a diploma of IAE Pau / Engineering School of ESTIA and a master degree in Business Management from University of Bordeaux/Engineering School ESTIA. He has been working in the field of innovation and eco-design since 2004 while supervising various eco-design projects in different sectors (e.g. furniture, sport, biomass) with companies and several R&D projects, as the PREBIOM project concerning comparative life cycle assessment of different biomass valorisation routes within the Aquitaine region.

SILVIA BARBERO

Silvia Barbero, PhD is an Assistant Professor at Politecnico di Torino (Department of Architecture and Design). She is lecturer of Product Environmental Requirements at the Design and Visual Communication degree and of Systemic Design at the Systemic Design Master degree at Politecnico di Torino. She is also responsible for the stage & job design curriculum and member of the H2020@polito Committee in Advanced Manufacturing and Processing. Her research mainly focuses on Systemic Design applied to agro-food and energy systems. She is scientific coordinator of the RETRACE Project (Interreg Europe – I Call) on the development of local and regional policies moving towards a circular economy, preventing waste being released into the environment. She has been coordinator also of regional project, and team leader of international project.

She is the author of some books on sustainable design, furthermore she wrote more than 100 papers in peer-reviewed journals, book chapters and reviewed international conference proceedings.

CHIARA BATTISTONI

Chiara Battistoni is a systemic designer currently pursuing a PhD in Management Production and Design at Politecnico di Torino, working with the Systemic Design Research Group in the Department of Architecture and Design. Since her bachelor degree in Industrial Design and a Master in Ecodesign, she has been actively interested in Sustainable Environmental Design. Her research focuses on the territorial potentialities reached thanks to the Systemic Design Approach which she started investigating since her master thesis and through collaborative projects as a research fellow.

LUIGI BISTAGNINO

Architect and designer, he lives and works in Torino, Italy. Founder of the research group on Systemic Design at the Politecnico di Torino aimed at developing products and processes in order to obtain zero emission. He was full Professor of Industrial Design and president of Industrial Design Courses at Politecnico di Torino, now he founded the Systemic Approach Foundation. He has contributed with numerous essays and articles to many important national and international reviews.

He designed objects currently in production and won national and international design prizes such as “Il Compasso d’Oro ADI”.

Coordinator and member of many national and European researches. Among his main publications: *Systemic Design* (2011); *The Outside Shell Seen from the Inside* (2008); *Design Piemonte* (2007); *Design with a Future* (2003).

EMANUELE BOMPAN

Emanuele Bompan is a journalist and communicator with an international experience and author of numerous reports on energy, climate change, environment, US politics. He published the book *Che cosa è l'economia circolare* (2016), about the rise of circular economy.

He was awarded the Middlebury Environmental Journalism Fellowship and, four times, The Innovation in Development Reporting Grant. In 2015 he was awarded 1st prize of “Reporter per la Terra”. In 2016 he received the DNI Google Award with the newspaper *La Stampa*. He has interviewed prime ministers, industry leaders, environmental gurus, intellectuals, all around the world. He has contributed to the following newspapers and magazines: *Reuters*, *El País*, *Die Welt*, *Al Jazeera*, *Materia Rinnovabile*, *Vanity Fair*, *Donna Moderna*, *La Stampa*, *Sole24Ore*, *Left*, *Capital*, *BioEcoGeo*, *Terra*, *l’Unità*, *il Fatto Quotidiano*, *Max*, *CityFactor*, *Equilibri*.

DANIEL CALLEJA CRESPO

Mr. Calleja is Director General for DG Environment, European Commission and former Director General of DG Internal Market, Industry, Entrepreneurship and SMEs (February 2012 to August 2015).

Prior to that, he worked in the cabinets of several Commissioners, including the President of the European Commission, advising on Transport and Competition matters, State Aids and the application of Community Law. Between 1999 and 2004 he was Head of Cabinet for both Commissioner Oreja and Vice-president Mrs. Loyola de Palacio, in charge of Transport and Energy. Daniel Calleja started his career in the Commission as Member of the Legal Service.

TIZIANA DELL’OLMO

Tiziana Dell’Olmo is working for the regional government of Piemonte since 2001. As regional coordinator of Interreg Programmes she developed a broad expertise in regional and European programming and development policies. In her current position within the University, Research and Innovation Unit, she supports the implementation of regional innovation policies and the strengthening of regional innovation system at national, European and international level.

MARJANA DERMEJ

Marjana Dermelj works in the Government Office for Development and European Cohesion Policy, Development Policies Division, at Slovenian Ministry, where she covers environmental issues. Prior to her work as a civil servant, Marjana worked in the non governmental sector (Umanotera, The Slovenian Foundation for Sustainable Development), where she ran several campaigns and eventually managed the fair trade shop 3MUHE. She holds a bachelor degree in Chemistry and successfully accomplished two post-graduate studies related to environmental policy and management.

CAROLINA GIRALDO NOHRA

Research Fellow on the RETRACE Project at the Politecnico di Torino, her work focuses on the Systemic Design methodology and the circular economy research, coordinating the Exchange of Experience activities across all partners. Prior to that, she worked on Systemic Design research in Latin American context at A Good Foundation in Amsterdam and also in the field of urban sustainability in South Africa with Future Cape Town, a leading African organisation. Since her Master in Ecodesign at Politecnico di Torino, she has been actively interested in sustainable development.

JEAN-MICHEL LARRASQUET

Emeritus Professor in Business Sciences, he has contributed numerous articles and books on change and innovation applying the approaches of Soft Systemics (Checkland), the Network Theory (Latour) and the Complexity Theory (Morin). He currently applies these theoretical approaches to operational contexts, dealing with responsible entrepreneurship and responsible territorial development. He is also Responsible for a think tank working on societal questions in the Basque Country.

IBAN LIZARRALDE

Iban Lizarralde is specialized in systemic and engineering design and works on the management of innovation through different approaches. Familiar with eco-innovation and creativity tools, he also researches in the field of new sustainable business models and conviviality approaches. He is the project manager of RETRACE for the French partner ESTIA.

ANDER MUÑOZ URBIZU

Industrial Management and Information Technologies Engineer, he is currently working in Beaz (Economic and Territorial Development in the region of Bizkaia) as project manager, supporting entrepreneurs, startups and companies through their innovation and growth processes. Previously, he worked in various consulting positions, especially in banking, insurance and public sectors.

AGNESE PALLARO

PhD candidate from the Department of Management and Production Engineering at Politecnico di Torino, her research focuses on environmental sustainability and Systemic Design. Since her Master in Ecodesign at Politecnico di Torino, she has been actively interested in Systemic Design. She is the communication manager of the RETRACE Project (Interreg Europe – I Call) for the Lead Partner on the development of regional policies to move towards a circular economy, preventing waste being released into the environment.

PIER PAOLO PERUCCIO

Pier Paolo Peruccio is Associate Professor at Politecnico di Torino, Deputy Dean of the Design Courses, and Representative for International Affairs. He holds a PhD degree in History of Architecture and Urbanism and is lecturer of “History of Visual Communication and Design” at the Design and Visual Communication bachelor’s degree, and of “Theory and History of Systemic Design” at the Systemic Design master degree at Politecnico di Torino. He is coordinator of the research “Innovation in Design Education: The Establishment of Innovation in terms of Content and Pedagogical Methods, Design Courses at the École Catholique d’Arts et Métiers de Lyon (Ecam)” that aims at activating in France a degree programme in collaboration with ECAM.

His research is mainly on the history of environmental sustainability related to the field of design. He carried out many research projects in public and private archives, such as the Rockefeller Archive Center at Tarrytown (USA), the MIT at Cambridge (USA) and the Archivio Storico Olivetti in Ivrea.

ESTIBALIZ PLAZA ELORDI

Estibaliz Plaza Elordi holds a degree in Business Administration and Management from the Deusto University. She is currently the Head of the Entrepreneurship Area of Azaro Fundazioa, where she has been working for more than 12 years. Her job is to advise entrepreneurs and companies in the definition and acceleration of business and innovation projects in order to maintain and generate employment in the Region of Lea-Artibai.

MARION REAL

With a strong background in user-centered design, ergonomics & human factor, Marion Real recently conducted a PhD in the field of eco-innovation. She takes part actively in the RETRACE Project applying the Systemic Design methodology in the Nouvelle Aquitaine region. Additionally, she has a strong interest in the recovery of clothing and the design of supply-chains built around natural fibers, recycled clothes and local products. In this same area of competence, she is developing an action research on cosmopolitan fashion localism for fashion.

RAMONA TANASĂ

Member of the External Cooperation Office in Nord-East Regional Development Agency in Romania, Ramona Tanasă begun her activity in the North-East RDA as Communication Officer for the North-East EUROPE DIRECT Centre, gaining expertise in desk research on European policies, programmes and initiatives and laying the base for identifying cooperation opportunities, information management and European policies and mainstream topics knowledge and awareness. Previously, she was working in banks, in entrepreneurial and economic education and knowledge, as well as in marketing and sales sectors.

PAOLO TAMBORRINI

Paolo Tamborrini is Associate Professor and Dean of the Design Courses at Politecnico di Torino. His research focuses on sustainable innovation and interaction design. He contributes to the following newspapers and magazines: *Il Giornale dell'Architettura*, *Domus* and *Il Sole 24 Ore* and is Managing Editor of the online publication *Graphicus*. He has published more than 100 scientific articles, proceedings and is the author of *Design Sostenibile, oggetti, sistemi e comportamenti* (2009).

BENJAMIN TYL

Dr. Benjamin Tyl is an eco-innovation research engineer. In 2011, he obtained a PhD degree for his work on eco-innovation, and more specifically on the contribution of creativity in the eco-ideation processes. Benjamin is an active member of the French EcoSD (Eco-design of Sustainable Systems) network, and member of the Design Society. He is currently working at the technological center APESA, where his role is to support the research activity and to develop research projects with both private companies and public laboratories. His main research interests are eco-innovation and eco-ideation but also the local value creation approach in design. Benjamin Tyl was coordinator of two research projects in eco-innovation with both academics and industrials (PSA, Steelcase, Parkeon, etc.), funded by the French National Network in Eco-design (EcoSD). He is currently the scientific leader of a research project on eco-innovation, funded by the French National Research Agency (ANR). Moreover, Benjamin is co-founder of a citizen company (I-ENER) that aims to develop a sustainable and territorial energy project.

© 2017 UMBERTO ALLEMANDI, TURIN

© 2017 THE AUTHORS

PUBLISHED AND PRINTED IN TURIN IN THE MONTH OF SEPTEMBER 2017
BY UMBERTO ALLEMANDI PUBLISHING

This volume aims at clarifying the role of Circular Economy according to a sustainable development and how policymakers can target it effectively in their activities. It is a guide to Systemic Design as a key methodology to establish sustainable regional action plans towards a Circular Economy.

As the result of an intense dialogue between people who present different perspectives and seek for a common language in the current complexity of policymaking and designing, this is the first of a three book series published across a four-year period (2016–2020) as part of the RETRACE Project funded by the Interreg Europe Programme.

Preface by Erwin Siweris

With contributions by

Jocelyn Bailey / Cyril Baldacchino /
Silvia Barbero / Chiara Battistoni /
Luigi Bistagnino / Emanuele Bompan /
Daniel Calleja Crespo /
Tiziana Dell’Olmo / Marjana Dermelj /
Carolina Giraldo Nohra /
Jean-Michel Larrasquet /
Iban Lizarralde / Ander Muñoz Urbizu /
Agnese Pallaro / Pier Paolo Peruccio /
Estibaliz Plaza Elordi / Marion Real /
Ramona Tanasă / Paolo Tamborini /
Benjamin Tyl



volume 1

ISBN 978-88-422-2444-0



9 788842 224440

Partners



POLITECNICO
DI TORINO
Department of
Architecture & Design



REGIONE
PIEMONTE



azaro
fundazioa



Bizkaia
EUSKADI



APESA



ESTIA
INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY



REPUBLIC OF SLOVENIA
GOVERNMENT OFFICE FOR DEVELOPMENT
AND EUROPEAN COHESION POLICY



ADRI
Agencia para o Desenvolvimento
N O R D - E S T